

**Remarks**

Claims 3 and 11 have been rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of their base claim and intervening claims.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Metzger. As amended, Claim 1 recites "wherein the air dam is attached to the replendam by a spring." This limitation is not disclosed in the Metzger reference so the rejection is no longer supported and should be withdrawn.

Claim 2 depends from Claim 1 as amended and therefore is believed to be allowable based on the reasons set forth above.

Claims 4-8 now depend from allowed Claim 3, based on the current amendments.

As amended, Claim 9 recites "wherein the air dam is attached to the replendam by a spring." This limitation is not disclosed in the Metzger reference so the rejection is no longer supported and should be withdrawn.


Claims 10 and 12 now depend from allowed Claim 11.

Claims 13-25 were previously withdrawn.

Accordingly, it is believed that all of the claims are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



David L. Principe  
Reg. No. 39,336

Date: January 29, 2004

HODGSON RUSS LLP  
One M&T Plaza, Suite 2000  
Buffalo, New York 14203-2391  
Tel: (716) 856-4000